1 2	Matthew C. Helland, CA State Bar No. 250451 helland@nka.com NICHOLS KASTER, LLP	
3	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 720 San Francisco, CA 94111	
4	Telephone: (415) 277-7235 Facsimile: (415) 277-7238	
5	Rebekah L. Bailey, CA State Bar No. 258551	
6	E. Michelle Drake, MN Bar No. 0387366* Kai Richter, MN Bar No. 0296545*	
7	Sarah W. Steenhoek, MN Bar No. 0390258*	
8	*(admitted pro hac vice) NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP	
9	4600 IDS Center 80 South 8th Street	
10	Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 256-3200	
11	Facsimile: (612) 215-6870	
12		
13	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Classes	
14	LIMITED STATES	DISTRICT COLIDT
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
17		
18	STEPHEN ELLSWORTH, as an individual and as a Representative of the classes and on	Case No. 3:12-cv-02506-LB
19	behalf of the general public,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND PLAINTIFF STEPHEN PLAINTIFF STEPHEN
20	Plaintiff,	ELLSWORTH'S TIME TO RESPOND TO AMERICAN SECURITY INSURANCE COMPANY'S MOTION
21	V.	INSURANCE COMPANY'S MOTION TO DISMISS
22	U.S. BANK, N.A. and American Security Insurance Company,	ORDER
23	Defendants.	[CIVIL L.R. 6-1 AND 6-2]
24		
25	Durguent to Local Pules 6.1 and 6.2. Pla	intiff Stanhan Ellowarth ("Dlaintiff"), on habelf of
26	Pursuant to Local Rules 6-1 and 6-2, Plaintiff Stephen Ellsworth ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, and Defendant American Security Insurance Company	
27	("ASIC"), through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate that Plaintiff shall have up to and	
28	( 1310 ), unough their undersigned counsel, lie	broog supurate that I faintiff shall have up to allu

## 

1	including September 28, 2012, to respond to ASIC's Motion to Dismiss. The reason for the	
2	requested enlargement of time is to provide Plaintiff with sufficient time to respond to ASIC's	
3	Motion to Dismiss. The parties have previously stipulated to allow ASIC additional time to	
4	respond to the First Amended Complaint in accordance with Local Rule 6-1(a). As it is still early	
5	in the proceedings, it is not anticipated that the requested time modification will have any effect	
6	on this case's schedule.	
7	Detadi Santambar 5, 2012	
8	Dated: September 5, 2012	
9	By: /s/Peter S. Hecker	
10	PETER S. HECKER SHEPPARD MULLIN RICHTER &	
11	HAMPTON, LLP Four Embarcadero Center 17th Floor	
12	San Francisco, CA 94105 Attorney for ASIC	
13		
14		
15	Dated: September 5, 2012	
16	By: /s/Kai H. Richter	
17	KAI H. RICHTER ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) NICHOLS KASTER, PLLP	
18	4600 South 8th Street Minneapolis, MN 55402	
19	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
20 21		
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. THE BRIEFING SCHEDULE ON	
23	ASIC'S MOTION TO DISMISS SHALL BE MODIFIED AS SET FORTH HEREIN.	
24	Dated: September 13, 2012 By:	
25	The Honorable Laurel Beeler	
26		
27	<sup>1</sup> Should the Court wish to reschedule the hearing date in light of the parties' requested briefing schedule, counsel for both parties are available November 1st or 15th for a hearing on ASIC's motion to dismiss.	
28		

STIPULATION